

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: SOM USSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Bok 1450 Altraditia, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. APPLICATION NO. 12/28/2001 David Harriman 42390.P13764 2731 10/040,605 **EXAMINER** 7590 09/20/2005 BLAKELY, SOKOLOFF, TAYLOR & ZAFMAN LLP CHOUDHURY, AZIZUL Q Seventh Floor ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 12400 Wilshire Boulevard Los Angeles, CA 90025-1026 2145

DATE MAILED: 09/20/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

1		
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Advisory Action	10/040,605	HARRIMAN ET AL.
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit
	Azizul Choudhury	2145
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address
THE REPLY FILED 8/23/05 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.		
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods: a) The period for reply expires months from the mailing date of the final rejection. 		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no		
event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b)		
above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL		
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).		
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>		
The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).		
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).		
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):		
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).		
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE		
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will <u>not</u> be entered because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).		
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1). 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.		
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:		
See Continuation Sheet.		
12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 13. ☐ Other:		
		AC

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Within the after final remarks, the applicant's representative expresses concern over the number of fields contained within the data packets. They note that their design only requires two data fields whereas supposedly the prior art design requires four fields. While an argument provided to the applicant's representative was that the RFC discloses the packet header composition (Section 3.1, RFC 791). It contains version (equivalent to claimed format) and type of service (equivalent to claimed type) fields, which combined makes up a byte within the header. In addition, it features a field for the IHL (equivalent to header size field) and a total length field (equivalent to the claimed field of whether packet includes data, if total length is equal to IHL, there is no data in the packet. The matter has been further evaluated and the examiner would like to further point out how data packets with two fields are also described within the prior art, even within the cited section of 3.1. The explanation provided by the examiner within an office action, while relevant is not to limit the material presented within the prior art. It is crucial when reviewing a prior art to not only understand it's literal interpretation or portions thereof but, that the spirit of the prior art be understood as well. It is after such a review that the examiner has determined that the request for reconsideration has been reconsidered but does not place the application in condition for allowance.

RUPÁL DHARIA RVISORY PATENT EXAMINER